

.

---

**From:** Nassif, Julianne (DPH)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 10, 2011 2:05 PM  
**To:** Caloggero, Dina (DPH)  
**Cc:** Dole, William (DPH)  
**Subject:** RE: Suffolk County

Stop reading your email! I am only sending this because I am on vacation next week. We are hoping to add a little something to it – sample analyzed & returned to the safe

---

**From:** Caloggero, Dina (DPH)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 10, 2011 1:52 PM  
**To:** Nassif, Julianne (DPH)  
**Cc:** Dole, William (DPH)  
**Subject:** Re: Suffolk County

Yes. I am pretty sure he wrote this report

---

**From:** Nassif, Julianne (DPH)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 10, 2011 01:12 PM  
**To:** Caloggero, Dina (DPH)  
**Subject:** FW: Suffolk County

Dina, can Kip help with this?  
Julie

---

**From:** O'Brien, Elisabeth (DPH)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 10, 2011 1:11 PM  
**To:** Nassif, Julianne (DPH)  
**Subject:** FW: Suffolk County

FYI again

---

**From:** O'Brien, Elisabeth (DPH)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 10, 2011 12:38 PM  
**To:** Dole, William (DPH)  
**Subject:** Suffolk County

Hi Kip,

I have a quick question about the Suffolk County database report that we run. Currently it lists all of the Suffolk County samples that have been "returned to agency". Can it be amended to show Suffolk County samples that have been "returned to the safe" instead?

I ask because in some situations some of the Suffolk County samples are not being reflected in the report simply because they are waiting to be picked up by police who don't show up for 6-8 weeks most of the time (Chelsea and Revere most notably). The Suffolk County courts are most interested in the negative results so that defendants are not being held in jail unnecessarily.

Any thoughts let me know.

Thanks,

Betsy